

MURPHY &  
McGONIGLE  
A Professional Corporation

Email: jfacciponti@mmlawus.com  
Direct: 212.880.3966  
Facsimile: 212.880.3998

MEMO ENDORSED

1185 Avenue of the Americas  
Floor 21  
New York, NY 10036

July 16, 2021

By ECF

The Honorable Cathy Seibel  
United States Courthouse  
300 Quarropas Street  
White Plains, NY 10601-4150

Re: *United States v. Louis Bamberg*, 11 Cr. 626 (CS)

Application Granted / Denied  
So Ordered.

Cathy Seibel  
Cathy Seibel, U.S.D.J.

Dated: 7/16/21

(But it is in  
Mr. Bamberg's  
best interest  
to have  
no contact  
with the  
complainant.)

Dear Judge Seibel:

I am CJA counsel for Louis Bamberg in the above-referenced matter. I write to respectfully clarify with the Court that the personal recognizance bond entered in connection with the recent violation of supervised release proceeding – which was withdrawn with the Court's approval by the Probation Office on July 2, 2021 – is no longer in effect and that Mr. Bamberg is no longer subject to any of the conditions of the bond, including the following three conditions: (i) that Mr. Bamberg attend anger management classes, (ii) that he not have any contact with the complainant, and (iii) that he stay away from Hamilton Avenue in Yonkers, the location of the complainant's former residence.

Based on communications with the government and the Probation Office, my understanding is that the Court wished for the parties to meet-and-confer regarding the status of these three conditions. The parties have conferred by email and all agree that the three conditions enumerated above no longer need to apply to Mr. Bamberg.

With respect to the anger management classes, my understanding is that Mr. Bamberg's therapist has informed the Probation Office that Mr. Bamberg would not benefit from further therapy. With respect to the other two conditions, I note that Mr. Bamberg has had no contact with the complainant since the alleged incident in November 2020 and that, as detailed in Mr. Bamberg's response to the government's *in limine* motions, had a revocation hearing been held, the defense expected to adduce evidence contradicting the complainant's accusations.

\* Hon. Cathy Seibel  
July 16, 2021  
Page 2 of 2

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J.P.F.

Joseph P. Facciponti

\*

cc (by email & ECF):  
AUSA Benjamin Gianforti  
Probation Officer Jeff Steimel